

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/629,481 07/29/2003 Gerard M. Cuomo 2946 7459 **EXAMINER** 7590 10/26/2004 KING, ANITA M Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C. Suite 100 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2900 Thomas Avenue S. Minneapolis, MN 55416 3632

DATE MAILED: 10/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/629,481		CUOMO, GERARI	D М.	S
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Anita M. King		3632		
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	f this communication app	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	rrespondence add	dress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	*					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application	unication(s) filed on <u>06 Au</u> 2b)☐ This is in condition for allowan with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final.	<u> </u>		merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4)	n(s) is/are withdraw allowed. ected. objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is obj 10) The drawing(s) filed or Applicant may not reque	a <u>29 July 2003</u> is/are: a) st that any objection to the conect(s) including the correction	☐ accepted or b)⊠ drawing(s) be held in on is required if the d	abeyance. See a	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D 3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	rawing Review (PTO-948)	Pa; 5)	erview Summary (F per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Pat ner:	PTO-413) s ent Application (PTO	-152)	

Art Unit: 3632

This is the second office action for application number 10/629,481, Surgical Microscope Apparatus, filed on July 29, 2003.

Drawings

In order to avoid abandonment, the drawing informalities noted in the paper mailed on March 25, 2004, must now be corrected. Correction can only be effected in the manner set forth in the above noted paper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 has ambiguous claim terminology where it is unclear whether latter recitations of originally cited terminology are intended to refer to the originally cited terms. It is unclear if "a dental chair," bridging lines 4 and 5 of the claim is intended to refer to the original recitation of the term "a dental chair," bridging lines 1 and 2 of the claim. Applicant is suggested to change the later recitation to either --the dental chair-or --said dental chair--.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "said vertical support" bridging lines 2 and 3 and line 4 and "said microscope support" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim. The original recitations are cites as "a substantially

Art Unit: 3632

vertical microscope support arm," in line 4 and "a horizontally movable microscope positioning arm" in line 6 of the claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,170,336 to Malis. Malis discloses a surgical microscope system (10) capable of operating a vertical focus surgical microscope over a dental chair, comprising: a substantially vertical microscope support arm (14) capable of being fitted on a dental chair; a horizontally movable microscope positioning arm (18) having a plurality of hinged links, connected to the vertical support arm with a clamp (44) allowing vertical orientation along the length of the vertical support arm; and a microscope coupler (30) for connecting the microscope to the positioning arm, whereby the microscope system is supported for vertical motion and location the vertical support arm and the positioning arm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,571,038 to Jako in view of Malis. Jako discloses a surgical microscope

Art Unit: 3632

system for operating a surgical microscope over a dental chair of the type having a hinge for rotating the patient position near the patient's hips, comprising: a substantially vertical microscope support arm (see Fig. 1) adapted for fitment on a dental chair adapted for vertical motion in concert with chair vertical motion (Col. 3, line 16ff); a horizontally movable microscope positioning arm (14) having a plurality of hinged links, connected to the vertical support arm; and a microscope coupler (12) for connecting the microscope to the positioning arm; whereby the microscope system is supported for vertical motion and location the support arm; and whereby motion of a portion of the chair is used to focus the microscope.

Jako inherently discloses a method of using a surgical microscope with a chair having a movable chair bottom and having a rotatable chair back comprising the steps of: mounting a microscope on a substantially vertical pole connected to a dental chair bottom; moving the chair bottom to position the microscope at a location comfortable for the microscope user; rotating the seat back about a pivot point bringing the subject into approximate focus of the microscope; and manipulating the variable focus of the microscope to refine the focus to suit the user.

Jako discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of a clamp. Malis teaches a clamp (44) used to attach a vertical support arm (14) to a positioning arm (18) and wherein the clamp allows vertical orientation along the length of the vertical support arm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system in Jako to have included the clamp as

Art Unit: 3632

taught by Malis for the purpose of providing a more adjustable system to accommodate the user.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malis in view of U.S. Patent 2,697,776 to Wale. Malis further discloses a terminal link formed by elements 28 and 30b, with a repositionable axis of rotation orthogonal to the vertical support proximate the microscope. Malis discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of the terminal link having several discreet pre-selected angles of inclination. Wale teaches a system having a terminal link (10) with a repositionable axis of rotation, and having an opening (@12) for receiving a cylindrical member (14) and a pivot pin (22) for insertion into an aperture in a tube (26) whereby a support (40) may be inclined at any of several discreet pre-selected angles with respect to another support (C). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the terminal link in Malis to have included the link as taught by Wale for the purpose of providing an alternative, mechanically equivalent means for adjusting an object such as a microscope in a variety of positions in relation to a support system.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- U.S. Patent 122,370 to Doolittle
- U.S. Patent 2,508,974 to Soditch et al.
- U.S. Patent 5,123,619 to Tomlinson et al.

Doolittle discloses an adjustable umbrella holder having adjustable pre-selected angular positions. Soditch et al. disclose a lantern holder having a coupler allowing inclination of pre-selected angular positions. Tomlinson et al. disclose an adjustable cable clamp having variable pre-selected angular positions.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (703) 308-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita M. King Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

October 20, 2004